

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/645,590	08/22/2003	Hidekazu Michioka	051252-5020-01	8583
9629	7590 10/13/2004		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3752	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 / /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,590	MICHIOKA ET AL.	y o o
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher S. Kim	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addi	ess
Period for Reply	VIC CET TO EVOIDE AMONTH	(C) FDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 S</u>	September 2004.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 8-24 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-12,20 and 21 is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>13-19 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> is/are:			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTC	J-15Z.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			tage
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	ed.	
Attachment(s)	□	(0.70)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔛 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
<ul> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/22/03.</li> </ul>			152)

Application/Control Number: 10/645,590

Art Unit: 3752

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Invention II in the reply filed on September 7, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Applicant has failed to elect a single species as required by the Restriction/Election requirement mailed on August 5, 2004.
- During a telephone conversation with Khoi Q. Ta on September 29, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species B, figure 6, claims 13-19 and 22-24. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8-12, 20 and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/645,590 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

### **Drawings**

5. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 13-19 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the fuel outlet" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/645,590 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 13-18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rembold et al. (WO 97/49911).

See U.S. Patent No. 6,027,050 for an English translation.

Rembold discloses a method of generating a spray pattern from a fuel injector having a body 32, 32', 60; a needle 39; a seat 41'; a plurality of passages 34, 34'.

10. Claims 13-19 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmidt et al. (5,667,145).

Schmidt discloses a method of generating a spray pattern from a fuel injector having a body 3; a needle (inherent); a seat 4; a plurality of passages 8, 9, 15, 16.

### **Double Patenting**

11. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

12. Claims 13-19 and 22-24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-19 and 22-24

Art Unit: 3752

of U.S. Patent No. 6,799,733. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of '733 fully discloses the claimed invention of the present application.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752